

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.zov

			www.uspto.gov	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/764,031	01/17/2001	Christoph Kleinlogel	00-725	8064
	590 12/24/2002			
Bachman & LaPointe, P.C. 900 Chapel Street, Suite 1201			EXAMINER	
New Haven, C	Г 06510-2802		DERRINGTON, JAMES H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1731	<i>(</i>
			DATE MAILED: 12/24/2002	10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	(G)			
•		Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/764,031	KLEINLOGEL ET AL.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	James Derrington	1731			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 16 December 2002 FAILS TO PLAGE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli	cation. A proper reply to a			
	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) A The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevert, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	if the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	statutory period for reply originally set in nths after the mailing date of the final reje	efee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in action, even if timely filed, may reduce any			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(D) integralse the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would I canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	·				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s explanation of how the new or amended claims wou	s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)  uld be rejected is provided belov	☐ will be entered and an wor appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 11-26.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>					
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office					



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment to claim 21 that adds the limitation "prematurely interrupted leading to a porous structure..." raises issues not present at the time the final rejection was written.

Continuation of 10. Other: The remarks section of the amendement argues that the cited prior art does not show or reasonably suggest the proposed combination of sintering temperature range and a density of at least around 98%. The examiner notes however that the instant specification discloses (table at page 10) that this combination of low sintering temperature and high density results when specified amounts, i.e. 1 mol % of the second doping element are employed (Note compositions at page 9, lines 28-30. However, no current or proposed claim recites a lower limit that approaches these amounts. Currently claim 11 recites amounts that are inclusive of impurity levels or a minuscle level of the second doping element. Thus the current claims are not commensurate in scope with the showings in the specification regarding the amount of the second dopant.

JAMES DERRINGTON PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 127 / 73/